

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,071	06/26/2001	Michael D. Pierschbacher	P-LA 4798	4768
23601	7590 06/06/2002			
CAMPBELL & FLORES LLP 4370 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE 7TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			CHISM, BILLY D	
SAN DIEGO	, CA 92122		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1653	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/892,071	PIERSCHBACHER ET AL.
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Billy D Chism	1653
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispatch term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron le, cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	June 2001 .	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)∑ T	his action is non-final.	
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims		
4)	Claim(s) 45-54 is/are pending in the application	ion.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)[-]	Claim(s) <u>45-54</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers		
9) 🔲 -	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10) 🔲 🗂	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected to by the Exa	ıminer.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		
12)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in Applicat	ion No
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B see the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).
	☐ The translation of the foreign language pracknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
Attachment			
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S Patent and Tr TO-326 (Re		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5

Application/Control Number: 09/892,071

Art Unit: 1653

This Office Action is in response to Paper #3 filed 26 June 2001. Claims 1-44 have been canceled in the response. Claims 45-54 were added in the response and are currently under consideration.

It is noted that the application at page 1, first paragraph cites prior U.S. Patent Applications. The information should be updated to reflect their current status.

Detailed Action

Formalities of the Application

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Methods of inhibition of vitronectin receptor binding utilizing conformationally stabilized RGD cell adhesion peptides.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 45-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Applicants broadened the scope of the independent claims with the indefinite use of "cell" in the claims. The use of the term "cell" is viewed as a cell; conversely, the use of "a cell in a human or animal, is viewed as a human or an animal. A cell is viewed as an *in vitro* process or treatment regarding the cell, such as cell cultures; however, the Applicants claim *in*

Application/Control Number: 09/892,071

Art Unit: 1653

vivo purposes, which broaden the scope of the *in vitro* claims regarding cell processes or treatments for *in vivo* processes or treatments.

4. Claims 46, 48, 50, 52 and 54 recite the limitation "*in vivo*" in line 2 of each claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 45, 47, 49, 51 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hayman *et al.* 1985 (J. Cell Bio. 100:1948). Hayman *et al.* disclosed *in vitro* attachment inhibition of cells to vitronectin receptor cites by Arg-Gly-Asp-containing peptides comprising the sequence. Hayman *et al.* used the soluble peptide to inhibit the attachment of cells to microtiter wells coated with vitronectin (p. 1951, second column, first paragraph).

Art of Record

The examiner acknowledges that *in vivo* uses of Arg-Gly-Asp-containing peptides, or RGD proteins, are known in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to B. Dell Chism whose telephone number is 703-306-5815. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM, Monday through Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,071

Art Unit: 1653

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Christopher Low can be reached on 703-308-2329. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

B. Dell Chism

June 4, 2002

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.P.
PRIMARY EXAMINER